



REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS

Selecting 10 Jurisdictions as Pretrial Research and Implementation Sites

OVERVIEW

Through this request for applications, state, county, and municipal government entities may apply to receive intensive training and technical assistance to implement the Public Safety Assessment (PSA), a pretrial risk assessment developed by the Laura and John Arnold Foundation (LJAF). Applicants will serve as action-research sites for rigorous evaluations of the PSA's implementation, performance, and associated outcomes.

LJAF will select 10 jurisdictions to implement and study the PSA in collaboration with a national training and technical assistance provider (National Provider) and National Research Partner.

Selected jurisdictions will participate in two core activities:

1. **Systems Change:** Jurisdictions will receive intensive technical assistance to adopt the PSA and situate pretrial risk assessment use within the context of broader pretrial reform.
2. **Research:** Jurisdictions will become research sites, participating in a significant research agenda to evaluate the PSA and its use.

LJAF invites jurisdictions to become part of an exciting network of leaders who will learn together and inform the national discourse on pretrial justice and risk assessment use. This is a unique opportunity for jurisdictions interested in transforming their pretrial decision-making process and contributing valuable research findings and policy lessons to the field.

BACKGROUND

The PSA provides judges and other court officers with data to help inform their pretrial release decisions. The development of the PSA was a landmark moment in the risk assessment field—a step forward in the science of risk assessment and an answer to common implementation barriers. Early research shows that this type of data-informed approach can help reduce pretrial detention, address racial disparities, and increase public safety.

Although robust pretrial reform requires more than the introduction of risk assessment, adding sound risk assessment to a judge’s decision-making resources can help to reduce unnecessary and unjust pretrial detention. New Jersey serves as a model: the state enacted policies promoting speedy trials, creating a presumption of release for certain offenses, strengthening pretrial services, and implementing the PSA. New Jersey has seen a 28 percent reduction in its pretrial detention population in the first 18 months since the reforms were put in place, with no increase in crime.

In April 2018, LJAF released two Requests for Proposals (RFPs) focused on reducing unnecessary pretrial detention, promoting racial justice, and increasing equity, fairness, and accountability at the front end of the criminal justice system. The [first RFP](#) seeks a National Provider that will work in partnership with LJAF to provide national access to the PSA and more intensive training and technical assistance in 10 diverse research sites. The [second RFP](#) lays out LJAF’s pretrial justice research objectives and seeks researchers to help undertake this work, including a National Research Partner to study the 10 research sites.

LJAF has witnessed, and deeply appreciates, the field’s hunger to adopt pretrial risk assessments. However, as we open access to the PSA to all interested jurisdictions, we must also continue evaluating the effectiveness of the PSA and best practices around implementation. This integrated strategy—research paired with implementation—will help ensure jurisdictions using the PSA have access to the latest findings from the research teams and any improvements to the PSA itself.

WHO SHOULD APPLY?

We welcome applications from state, county, and municipal government entities. We encourage criminal justice coordinating councils, pretrial policy committees, court administrators, and chief judges to submit applications on behalf of jurisdictions.

Selected jurisdictions should expect significant engagement with LJAF, our National Provider, and National Research Partner over a period of two to five years. Please thoroughly review this document and assess whether this level of partnership is the best fit for your system stakeholders.

Please note that your jurisdiction can implement the PSA without applying for this RFP and participating as a research and technical assistance site. The PSA website, psapretrial.org, offers implementation resources that do not involve a formal research partnership and are designed to allow jurisdictions to adopt the PSA on their own (subject to the terms of the parties’ agreement). The National Provider will add more learning options to this website in the future.

WHAT TO EXPECT

Selected jurisdictions should expect an active, reciprocal relationship with LJAF and our partners. The most intensive engagement will occur in the first 18-24 months.¹ Technical assistance and research activities may continue up to five years.

LJAF's National Provider and research partners will provide each of the 10 selected sites with high-quality technical assistance and significant research and data support. Technical assistance will equip jurisdictions for sustainable practices of fidelity, validation, and performance tracking around pretrial risk assessment. The education, resources, and infrastructure jurisdictions receive will provide long-term value that outlives the project. Findings from the research will inform both local practice and the national pretrial justice field.

At the same time, participation will require jurisdictions to undertake challenging policy issues and commit to participating in LJAF-funded research. Technical assistance partners will examine issues of transparency, racial disparities, use of detention, and use of release conditions, including money bail. For a jurisdiction to be a viable research site, specific system data must exist and be accessible to our partners.

Systems Change

The National Provider will deploy teams of implementation, research, and data experts to sites. Through primarily in-person and phone interactions, teams will guide jurisdictions through the steps of PSA implementation.² After the PSA has launched, research and data experts will help jurisdictions collect, monitor, and report on quality assurance, inter-rater reliability, and performance measures.

Beyond implementation of the PSA itself, the National Provider will educate stakeholders on the appropriate use of risk assessment and contextualize the PSA within the jurisdiction's broader pretrial system and policy landscape. Jurisdiction commitment to addressing transparency, ongoing validation, and racial disparities is required, as the National Provider's teams will guide and support decisions around these important topics. Teams will also assist jurisdictions in setting up sustainable processes for monitoring any racial disparities and performing validation studies.

¹ Initial PSA implementation, specifically planning through launch and first use, takes most jurisdictions 8 - 12 months to complete.

² To learn more about the steps of PSA implementation, please register on psapretrial.org and view our implementation guides.

The National Provider will support the relationship between jurisdictions and the National Research Partner. Research undertaken within jurisdictions will depend on how systems operationalize policy changes. The National Provider will establish clear lines of communication among all partners and translate the priorities of both the National Research Partner and jurisdictions to successfully execute research activities.

Research

In early 2018, LJAF established a comprehensive pretrial research agenda. While the overarching goal is to reduce unnecessary and unjust pretrial detention without compromising public safety, a substantial portion of the agenda is dedicated to the rigorous evaluation of the PSA. We seek to improve the PSA by taking advantage of recent data from diverse jurisdictions and more sophisticated and transparent analytical techniques to evaluate it. We strongly encourage such research and local jurisdictions' evaluation of how well the PSA might perform locally. These steps are essential to making data-informed policy decisions and working toward solutions that produce fair, equitable, and successful outcomes.

Selected jurisdictions will participate in three of the National Research Partner's research objectives:

1) Evaluate the full implementation of the PSA

- a. Test fidelity to the PSA both in terms of scoring proficiency and following implementation protocol
- b. Establish baseline performance metrics within the jurisdiction related to pretrial detention, pretrial outcomes (failure to appear/FTA, new criminal activity/NCA, and new violent criminal activity/NVCA)
- c. Understand and examine the impact of decision-making with the PSA
- d. Establish a model for jurisdictions to continuously evaluate performance metrics over time

2) Rigorously examine the predictive accuracy and fairness of the PSA

- a. Test how well the PSA will predict pretrial outcomes prior to implementation and post implementation
- b. Test PSA scales for fairness and bias using rigorous statistical techniques
- c. Develop, implement, and evaluate PSA validation models for new jurisdictions to utilize in order to determine if the PSA is appropriate for their community and to provide ongoing evaluations of the predictive accuracy and fairness of the PSA

3) Develop and test potential improvements to the PSA scales, risk factors, and weights

- a. Using local and current data, develop new algorithms using sophisticated statistical modeling techniques to determine if updates to the PSA would improve predictive power and increase fairness
- b. Implement and test these algorithms across jurisdictions in a transparent and responsible manner
- c. Provide these algorithms to new or current PSA jurisdictions to test revisions to the PSA for their respective justice system populations

To accomplish these objectives, the National Research Partner will need both commitment and capacity from selected jurisdictions. The combination of these key components will ensure a successful partnership. In addition, as outlined in the “data survey and readiness checklist” requirement of this application (see instructions on page 9), participation will require significant capacity to extract criminal history data. Jurisdictions will enter into data sharing agreements to allow researchers to review and analyze data as well as publish results.

SELECTION CRITERIA

LJAF will consider jurisdictions that meet the following criteria:

1. Pretrial system stakeholders are committed to data-driven pretrial risk assessment use in the context of broader pretrial decision-making and systems change

We seek jurisdictions that intend to incorporate the use of pretrial risk assessment thoughtfully within the broader context of pretrial decision-making. Pretrial system stakeholders must have a genuine interest in reducing unnecessary and unjust pretrial detention, implementing and using pretrial risk assessment appropriately, supporting research efforts to understand the use of risk assessment, and participating in the national conversation on pretrial justice.

2. Pretrial system stakeholders are committed to working with researchers and have the data quality and access necessary to accomplish LJAF research objectives

Jurisdictions must be able to provide the research team with specific data elements, have the staff capacity to extract data and data dictionaries, and demonstrate stakeholder support at various levels for research. Data elements required to conduct implementation evaluation include policies and procedures (and any changes to those policies with the implementation of the PSA), as well as individual-level administrative data. Examples of the administrative data include electronic historical criminal justice

system data such as jail entries/exits, charges, case outcomes, failure to appear, convictions, incarceration histories, and demographics (race, gender, and age).

To assist jurisdictions in evaluating their ability to fulfill the commitment and capacity requirements, we kindly ask that each applicant complete the jurisdiction readiness checklist included in the application instructions.

APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

Jurisdictions must prove they meet the selection criteria based on responses to three required application components:

1. Narrative (8–12 pages, single-spaced)
2. Jurisdiction data survey and readiness checklist (Google form provided by LJAF)
3. Letters of Commitment

Please use the template starting on page 8 to complete your application. The template includes detailed instructions for completing the required components.

Submissions are due, in electronic format (either Word or PDF), on or before Friday, October 19, 2018. Please submit your application to PSARFP@arnoldfoundation.org.

NOTIFICATION & NEXT STEPS

Sites that successfully submit all components and meet the selection criteria will be notified that they are under consideration on or before Friday, November 30, 2018.

LJAF will determine final selections in consultation with the National Provider and National Research Partner. We may require conference calls and site visits to gather more information from applicants before final selection.

Selected jurisdictions will enter into data use agreements before implementation begins. Some sites will begin implementation in early 2019. Other sites will begin implementation on a rolling basis. If your jurisdiction has specific implementation deadlines due to legislative or other mandates, please note that in your application.

IMPORTANT DATES

RFP Released	Thursday, September 13, 2018
Optional Bidder's Call	Tuesday, September 25, 2018, 12:00 pm EST <i>Call 877-594-8353 then enter code 72093522#</i>
Applications Due	Friday, October 19, 2018 <i>Submit no later than 11:59 pm EST</i>
Notification of Eligibility	Friday, November 30, 2018
Anticipated Final Selection	On or before March 1, 2019

APPLICATION TEMPLATE

I. Narrative

Please limit your narrative to 8–12 pages, single-spaced. Use the template headings and retain the order of the headings in your response. At minimum, address the questions posed within each section. Within the confines of the page limitation, feel free to add content not explicitly requested that LJAF should consider.

1. **Jurisdiction:**

2. **Lead Applicant Entity:**

3. **Point of Contact:**

4. **Point of Contact Email:**

5. **Statement of purpose**

Why is your jurisdiction interested in becoming a PSA implementation and research site? What do your system stakeholders hope to accomplish? What makes your jurisdiction an ideal candidate to participate in this kind of endeavor?

6. **Recent pretrial policy initiatives and activities**

Describe your jurisdiction's pretrial policy initiatives, existing and planned. Include recent pretrial policy committees, legislation, law or court rules changes, litigation, discussions around racial disparities, grant-funded initiatives, and research projects as applicable. How does PSA implementation fit within this broader pretrial context?

7. **Description of key pretrial system characteristics, pretrial services options, and use of financial conditions**

Describe major and unique aspects of how the pretrial system functions in your jurisdiction. Include the pretrial release options available to judges (court reminders, monitoring, supervision etc.) and current use of and thinking around monetary conditions of pretrial release. Please mention what risk assessment(s) you are currently using during the pretrial period, if any. Also, include any recent changes in jail population, including building a new jail, expanding or reducing capacity at the jail, etc.

8. **Recent research activities**

Describe your jurisdiction's recent, formal efforts to study criminal justice system issues, if any. The research need not be pretrial related, but should reveal the extent of your system stakeholders' experience with research objectives, data access for research purposes, and collaboration with internal and external researchers.

9. Risks

What implementation challenges are you most concerned about? What opposition exists within your jurisdiction (individuals, organizations, or government entities) that could compromise the implementation and/or research aspects of this project?

10. Key Stakeholders

Name the key stakeholders who would oversee, manage and participate in this project. Briefly describe their roles and experience within the local system and what prepares them to support a project of this type and complexity. If key stakeholders are up for re-election in November 2019, please indicate this.

11. Commitment to Research

LJAF will select up to 10 jurisdictions to become research sites and receive intensive technical assistance to implement the PSA. We anticipate that more than 10 qualified jurisdictions will apply. To build a large national study, LJAF and the National Research Partner are interested in obtaining data on important outcomes such as failure-to-appear rates and new crime rates from all qualified applicants (regardless of whether jurisdictions are selected as one of the 10 sites). Therefore, as a requirement of this application, we ask jurisdictions to affirmatively state openness to this type of partnership.

Select one (in your application, include one of the statements below as your answer to this section):

- a) Yes, my jurisdiction would consider contributing pretrial outcomes data to the National Research Partner if we are not selected as a site receiving technical assistance
- b) Yes, my jurisdiction would consider contributing pretrial outcomes data, and additional data such as case-level criminal history and court processing dates, to the National Research Partner if we are not selected as a site receiving technical assistance
- c) No, my jurisdiction would not contribute data to the National Research Partner if we are not selected as a site receiving technical assistance

II. Jurisdiction Data Survey and Readiness Checklist

The online data survey is a required component of your application submission. To assist interested jurisdictions with evaluating their ability to fulfill the commitment and capacity requirements, we kindly ask that each application include the completion of the [jurisdiction data survey and readiness](#) checklist. Although an online form submission is required, we have included the full survey as appendix A to aid planning and coordination of responses. Please complete the survey document first, and then designate one person to submit all answers via the online form.

III. Letters of Commitment

Letters of Commitment are a required component of your application submission. Please include letters from as many relevant pretrial system and community stakeholders as possible, including, for example, prosecutors, judicial leadership, public defenders, law enforcement, and county or municipal leadership. Although a partial form letter is acceptable, LJAF is looking for signatories' personal statements about their interest, commitment, and roles, as well as what they hope to accomplish through the project. We strongly suggest including a letter from research and/or IT departments involved in the project.

Submissions are due, in electronic format (either Word or PDF), on or before October 19, 2018.
Please submit your application to PSARFP@arnoldfoundation.org.

APPENDIX A

Jurisdiction Data Survey and Readiness Checklist

The online data survey is a required component of your application submission. We include the full survey in this appendix to aid planning and coordination of responses. Please complete the survey document first, and then designate one person to submit all answers via the online form.

Please review the questions below and fill out the online form using the following link:

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfRbSDkuZGtlHxz7BaJgml7paX8Tm5MQA7Jxmrf_3Q4hvYdoQ/viewform?usp=sf_link

Email address:

Name of jurisdiction:

Section 1: Stakeholder Commitment

1. Do key stakeholders support implementation and use of the PSA to help inform pretrial decisions?

Judiciary	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure
Prosecutor's office	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure
Public defender's office	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure
Court administrator	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure
Sheriff's office	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure
Pretrial services	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure
Jail administrators	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure
IT and/or Research dept.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and a letter of support is included in the appendix	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but a letter of support is not included	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure

Section 2a: Data Availability

2. Are the following data elements available?

							Source of data element (e.g. court, jail, prosecutor's office, etc.)	
Age at current arrest	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Ethnicity	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Gender	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Current violent charge	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Pending charge	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Prior misdemeanor convictions	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Prior felony convictions	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Prior violent convictions	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
History of failure to appear	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Dates of prior failures to appear	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Prior sentence to incarceration	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Prior sentence length	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Summons	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Criminal Citations	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Warrant	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure
Warrant Type	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unsure

3. Are the necessary data available to track PSA outcomes?

					Source of data element (e.g. court, jail, prosecutor's office, etc.)	
New failure to appear	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Dates of new failure to appear	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
New criminal activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
New charge description	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Dates of new criminal activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
New violent criminal activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
New violent charge description	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Dates of new violent criminal activity	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Dates of summons issued	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Dates of criminal citations issued	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Dates of warrants issued	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	

4. Are the necessary data available to assess PSA performance?

					Source of data element (e.g. court, jail, prosecutor's office, etc.)
Unique defendant identifiers	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Unique case identifiers	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Case filing date	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Case disposition date	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Case disposition type	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Detention facility booking date	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Detention facility booking timestamp	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Detention facility release date	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Detention facility release timestamp	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Admission reason	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Bail decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Bail paid	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Release reason	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	
Release reason by case or charge	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, available electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but not electronically	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsure	

Section 2b: Data Extraction

5. If the above Section 2a elements are available electronically, approximately how long would it typically take to pull a complete data extract and hand it over to a research partner?
6. How does your system define failure to appear (FTA)?
7. What is the earliest date available through your electronic data?

We would like to get a sense of your pretrial population. Please provide the following baseline information from a population snapshot date. If you would prefer, you may attach a standard pretrial data report to your application instead.

8. Percent of people in jail pretrial: _____
9. Failure to appear rate: _____
10. New criminal activity/pretrial recidivism rate: _____

Section 3: Technology Integration

11. Will the PSA be integrated by updating an existing data/case management system?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Unsure
12. If yes, which existing data/case management system will be updated?
 - Courts
 - Jail
 - Pretrial Services
 - Other: _____